

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/578,916	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MIHASHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> KENNETH BOMBERG	<b>Art Unit</b> 3754

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) KENNETH BOMBERG.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) VIRGIL H. MARSH.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 March 2011**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1,5,6

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenneth Bomberg/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Marsh with a proposed Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 5-6 which were substantially redundant with amended claim 1, and to clarify and improve the form of claim 1. After consulting with Applicant, in a subsequent call, Mr. Marsh approved the suggested Examiner's Amendment.